



Patent No: 7797276

Application No: 09/677,493

Appeal No: 2009-1164

Issue Date: 09/14/2010; Filing Date: 10/02/2000

Application Title: "Integrated Database Data Editing System"

Applicant: George Guang Yang (Previous used name: Guang Yang)

Examiner: **Baoquoc N. To**, Art Unit 2162

392 Hans Way

San Jose, CA 95133

Tel: (408) 729-1282

E-mail: guangyang14@hotmail.com

September 17, 2010

Mr. Baoquoc N. To  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### **Request to Amend My Patent**

Dear Mr. To,

I received my patent mailed to me on September 14, 2010. I am glad that you have allowed my amended specification filed in your office on June 28, 2010, but I don't agree that you allowed your amended Claims 1-5 and you amended my "Abstract".

Appendix A lists your amendments and mistakes which should be corrected. I always insist that you should allow my original Claims 1-7 filed in your office on June 28, 2010. I have not added any new matter and I have complied with 37 CFR 1.312 well. I believe that the Claims 1-7 structure and dependent relationship is the best way to show the value of this invention. My Claims 1-7 should be allowed and printed by your office as soon as possible.


I sent you a letter on September 10, 2010 to reply your "Interview Summary" mailed to me on September 7, 2010. I sent a letter to you on September 1, 2010 with copies of my US passport and the "Certification of Name Change" to reply the "Issue Notification" (Patent No: 7797276, Issue Date: 09/14/2010) mailed to me on August 25, 2010. Please use my current name and address to print my patent. I mailed the amended specification with original Claims 1-7 to you on June 24, 2010, which was before I

mailed the Issue Fee \$755 to the "Mail Stop ISSUE FEE" of your office on July 28, 2010. I have complied with 37 CFR 1.312 well.

I mailed a letter to you on August 6, 2010 to reply to your "Supplemental Notice of Allowability" mailed to me on August 4, 2010. I am glad to learn that "All claims being allowable" as shown on the first sentence of your notice again, but I do not agree your "Examiner's Amendment". I sent you a letter on August 2, July 28, and June 29, 2010 respectively to reply to your office actions mailed to me on July 30, July 20, and June 22, 2010. I sent you a letter on May 21, 2010 to reply your "Notice of Allowability" mailed to me on May 17, 2010 on which you state that "All claims being allowable". I sent a letter to you on May 17, 2010 to response to your "Interview Summary" mailed to me on May 13, 2010. I sent my "Reply to 'Office Communication' and Request to Allow Claims 1-7" to your office on April 27, 2010 to reply your "Office Communication" mailed to me on April 15, 2010. I mailed the "Request for Reopen Prosecution before Examiner on the Decision of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences" under 37 CFR § 41.77(b)(1) to your office on February 7, 2010 and on September 27, 2009, which state enough factual merits and legal bases to oppose the Board's decision to sustain your rejection of Claims 1 & 3.

My patent application is very significant in both technology and economy fields. As the fact shows that delaying my patent approval has also deterred our "Hi-Tech" industry and our economy in the past decade. It has also consumed ten years of my precious productive life and ruined my career and my personal life. I require your office to approve and print my Claims 1-7 as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "George G. Yang". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

George Guang Yang, Ph.D.

Independent Inventor

Patent No. 7,797,276 B1, Date of Patent: Sep. 14, 2010  
 Manuscript (clean version): filed on June 28, 2010

**Appendix A**  
**Correction of Examiner's Amendments or Mistakes**

Col. & Line (Patent)	Page & Line (Manuscript)	Amendment or Mistake	Comment
		<b>Abstract</b>	
Cover Page	P.18, l.3, & 7-11	"wired or wireless"; "The TCP/IP (Transfer Control Protocol/Internet Protocol) based connection-oriented network protocols are used to communicate between the client and server computers through a single computer port or a set of ports."; "implemented by using Java technologies"; and "is implemented by using web, plugin and Java technologies"	Missing
		<b>Background of the Invention</b>	
C.1, l.22	P.1, l.21	"or wireless links of a plurality of computers"	Missing
C.3, l.63	P.5, l.19	"Internet" should not be inserted	Is extra
		<b>Summary of the Invention</b>	
C.4, l.34; C.5, l.23&32	P.6, l.19; P.7, l.29; P.8, l.5	"forms" should not be deleted from "web page forms"	Missing
C.5, l.37	P.8, l.9	"default" should be "defaulted"	Correction
		<b>Detailed Description of the Invention</b>	
C.6, l.58; C.9, l.13 C.8, l.62	P.10, l.20; P.14, l.6; P.13, l.25	"forms" should not be deleted from "web page forms"; "form" should not be deleted	Missing
C.7, l.11	P.11, l.3	"," should be deleted	Is extra
C.9, l.55	P.15, l.7	"connection-oriented network protocols to communicate between the client computer and"	Missing
		<b>Claims 1-5</b>	
C.10, l.1&54	P.16, l.6	"wired or wireless"	Missing
C.10, l.18; C.11, l.4	P.16, l.18-19	"through a single computer port or a set of computer ports"	Missing
C.10, l.13, 32&66	P.16, l.14&30	"or implemented"	Missing
C.10, l.45; C.12, l.16	P.17, l.27	"plugin"	Missing
C.11, l.18	P.17, l.12	"double-'clicked'" should delete ""	Is extra
C.10, l.48; C.12, l.19	P.17, l.29	"(public...)" capitalizes "(Public...)"	Correction